arXiv:1210.0803v1 [math-ph] 2 Oct 2012

# Invertible Darboux Transformations

Ekaterina Shemyakova

shemyake@newpaltz.edu
Department of Mathematics
SUNY at New Paltz
1 Hawk Dr. New Paltz, NY 12561

October 3, 2012

**Abstract**

Darboux transformations were originally introduced for univariate operators and for hyperbolic bivariate operators of second order. These transformations were then generalized for other kinds of operators. Darboux's original formulas written in terms of Wronskians have been generalized for those cases also. For some cases it has been proved that no other Darboux transformations, other than those generated by these Wronskian formulas, are possible.

Darboux transformations generated by Darboux Wronskian formulas are not invertible, in the sense that the corresponding mappings of the operator kernels are not invertible. Until now, only Laplace transformations, which are special cases of Darboux transformations for hyperbolic bivariate operators of second order, are known to be different from Darboux transformations generated by Darboux Wronskian formulas and are invertible in the above sense.

In the present paper we show that for a bivariate linear partial differential operator of arbitrary order there are many Darboux transformations that, first, cannot be represented by Darboux Wronskian formulas, and, secondly, are invertible transformations.

Among other results, we find a criteria for such operators to have invertible Darboux transformations. We give conditions under which an operator has a Darboux transformation generated by a Darboux Wronskian formula.

## 1 Introduction

Darboux transformations were originally introduced in [Dar89] for Sturm-Liouville equations, with the corresponding linear differential operator

$$-D_x^2 + u - \lambda \ , \tag{1}$$

where $u \in K$, and where $K$ is some differential field (see Sec. 2), and $\lambda$ is a constant. They were also introduced for the Laplace operator,

$$D_x D_y + aD_x + bD_y + c \ , \tag{2}$$

where $a, b, c \in K$. A *Darboux transformation* for an arbitrary linear partial differential operator $\mathcal{L}$ can be defined as follows. Operator $\mathcal{L}$ is transformed into operator $\mathcal{L}_1$ with the same principal symbol (see Sec. 2) by means of operator $\mathcal{M}$ if there is a linear partial differential operator $\mathcal{N}$ such that

$$\mathcal{N}\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1\mathcal{M} \ . \tag{3}$$

In this case we shall say that there is a *Darboux transformation for pair* $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$; we also say that $\mathcal{L}$ *admits a Darboux transformation generated by* $\mathcal{M}$. We define *the order of a Darboux transformation* as the order of the $\mathcal{M}$ corresponding to it.

If a linear partial differential operator $\mathcal{L} \in K[D_x, D_y]$ has a right factor $D_x + l$, then it admits a Darboux transformation generated by $\mathcal{M} = D_x + l$. Indeed, suppose $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{F}(D_x + l)$, then for arbitrary $t \in K$: $(D_x + t)\mathcal{L} = (D_x + t)\mathcal{F}(D_x + l)$, that is $\mathcal{L}$ transforms into $(D_x + t)\mathcal{F}$. However, a general Darboux transformation is not implied by a factorization.

For operator (1) the only possible Darboux transformations are those generated by $\mathcal{M} = D_x - \psi_{1,x}\psi_1^{-1}$, where $\psi_1$ is in the kernel of the operator (1). For operator (2) Darboux transformations can be generated by each of the following:

$$\mathcal{M}_y = D_x - \psi_{1,x}\psi_1^{-1} \ , \tag{4}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_x = D_y - \psi_{1,y}\psi_1^{-1} \ , \tag{5}$$

where $\psi_1$ is in the kernel of operator (2). For operator (2), however, there are also Darboux transformations that cannot be represented as either (4) or (5), and they are known as *Laplace transformations*, which are defined for operators $\mathcal{L}$ in the form (2). Laplace transformations are generated by $\mathcal{M} = D_x + b$, or $\mathcal{M} = D_y + a$, provided the Laplace invariant $k = b_y + ab - c$ is not zero (or the invariant $h = a_x + ab - c$ is not zero). See more about Laplace transformations in [Tsa00].

It has been proved [She12a] that Laplace transformations, generated by $\mathcal{M}_y = D_x + m$ or $\mathcal{M}_x = D_y + m$, are the only two Darboux transformations of (2) that cannot be represented as either (4) or (5). Operators (4) and (5) can be equivalently defined by their action on functions in terms of Wronskians:

$$\mathcal{M}_x(\psi) = \left(D_x - \frac{\psi_{1,x}}{\psi_1}\right)(\psi) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \psi & \partial_x\psi \\ \psi_1 & \partial_x\psi_1 \end{vmatrix}}{-\left|\psi_1\right|} \ ,$$

$$\mathcal{M}_y(\psi) = \left(D_y - \frac{\psi_{1,y}}{\psi_1}\right)(\psi) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} \psi & \partial_y\psi \\ \psi_1 & \partial_y\psi_1 \end{vmatrix}}{-\left|\psi_1\right|} \ .$$

The consecutive application of a sequence of $n$ Darboux transformations leads to one Darboux transformation of order $n$, which then can be defined in terms of Wronskians of order $n$. Indeed, if we define a $(t, s)$ Wronkian, $t + s = n - 1$, of functions $f_1, \dots, f_{t+s}$ as the $n \times n$ determinant

$$W_{t,s}(f_1, \dots, f_{t+s}) = \begin{vmatrix} f_1 & \partial_x f & \dots & \partial_x^t f & \partial_y f & \dots & \partial_y^s f \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f_{t+s} & \partial_x f_{t+s} & \dots & \partial_x^t f_{t+s} & \partial_y f_{t+s} & \dots & \partial_y^s f_{t+s} \end{vmatrix}$$

then we can reformulate Darboux's original result [Dar89] and join it to the result [TS09] for operators of the form

$$D_x^2 + aD_x + bD_y + c \ , \qquad a, b, c \in K \ . \tag{6}$$

In [BS97], which preceded [TS09], the statement was proved for operators of the form (6) with constant coefficients.

**Theorem 1.1.** *If $m+n$ linearly independent $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{m+n}$ in the kernel of $\mathcal{L}$ of the form (2) or (6) are given, then operator $\mathcal{M}$ given by*

$$\mathcal{M}(\psi) = (-1)^{n+m} \frac{W_{m,n}(\psi, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{m+n})}{W_{m-1,n}(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{m+n})}$$

*defines some Darboux transformation for $\mathcal{L}$.*

The general question whether a Darboux transformation of order $n$ can be represented as a sequence of Darboux transformations of order one is open for operators of the form (2). In [She12b] the statement was proved for operators of the form (2) with arbitrary (i.e. not necessarily constant) coefficients, but for transformations of order two only. For operators of the form (1), the statement is an implication of the Crum theorem [MS91], which states that a Darboux transformation of order $n$ is generated by $\mathcal{M}$ which can be defined by the same Wronskian formulas (with the only difference that this is a case of a single variable):

$$\mathcal{M}\psi = \frac{W_{n-1,0}(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n, \psi)}{W_{n-2,0}(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)} \ .$$

Generalized types of Darboux transformations have been introduced for other types of operators and for systems of operators. In [LN10] one is defined in terms of a twisted derivation $D$ satisfying $D(AB) = D(A) + \sigma(A)B$, where $\sigma$ is a homomorphism. Such twisted derivations include regular derivations, difference and q-difference operators and superderivatives as special cases. The corresponding $\mathcal{M}$ can be expressed by the same formulas in terms of Wronskians.

However, a generalization of Theorem 1.1 is not true for many other kinds of operators.

**Example 1.2.** Consider operator $\mathcal{L} = D_x^2 D_y + yD_x^2 + xD_y^2 + 1$ and an element of its kernel,

$$\psi_1 = \sin\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{x}}\right) \in \ker \mathcal{L} \ .$$

Straightforward computations show that no $\mathcal{M}$ in the form (4), nor in the form (5), generates a Darboux transformation for operator $\mathcal{L}$. There are, however, other $\psi_1 \in \ker \mathcal{L}$, which generate Darboux transformations with $\mathcal{M}$ in the form (4) or (5).

In the present paper we show that there are many other kinds of operators than (2) which have invertible (see the precise definition in Sec. 4) Darboux transformations (Laplace transformations of operators (2) are invertible Darboux transformations). We give criteria which allow us to describe all possible invertible Darboux transformations of arbitrary linear partial differential operators $\mathcal{L}$ and generated by $\mathcal{M}$ in the form $D_x + m$ or $D_y + m$. We have found sufficient conditions that guarantee that Darboux Wronskian formulas (4) and (5) give $\mathcal{M}$ that generate Darboux transformations for arbitrary operators $\mathcal{L} \in K[D_x, D_y]$.

This paper is organized as follows. Sec. 2 outlines notation. Sec. 3 starts with the simple fact that a Darboux transformation for a pair $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M} = D_x + m)$, $m \in K$ exists if and only if a Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}^g, D_x)$ exists (analogously, for pairs with $\mathcal{M} = D_y + m$). Then Theorem 3.2 states necessary and sufficient conditions that guarantee that a Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}^g, D_x)$ exists. In Sec. 4 we define invertible transformations as transformations such that the corresponding mapping $\ker \mathcal{L} \to \ker \mathcal{L}_1$ is invertible. Theorem 4.2 states necessary and sufficient conditions that guarantee that a Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}^g, D_x)$ is invertible (as well as for pairs with $\mathcal{M} = D_y + m$). It also describes all other possible cases for the dimension of the kernel of mapping $\ker \mathcal{L} \to \ker \mathcal{L}_1$. In Sec. 5 Theorem 5.1 states that if in the kernel of operator $\mathcal{L}$ there is a subspace of large enough dimension generated by elements that differ from each other by a multiplication of a function of variable $y$, then each of those elements $\psi_1$ gives the same $\mathcal{M} = D_x - \psi_{1,x}\psi_1^{-1}$, and this $\mathcal{M}$ generates a Darboux transformation. In other words, we state when Darboux Wronskian formulas work for arbitrary bivariate linear partial differential operator. There is also an analogous statement for the case $\mathcal{M} = D_y - \psi_{1,y}\psi_1^{-1}$.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $K$ be a differential field of characteristic zero with commuting derivations $\partial_x, \partial_y$. Let $K[D] = K[D_x, D_y]$ be the corresponding ring of linear partial differential operators over $K$, where $D_x, D_y$ correspond to derivations $\partial_x, \partial_y$.

Operators $\mathcal{L} \in K[D]$ have the general form

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i+j=0}^{d} a_{ij} D_x^i D_y^j \ , \ a_{ij} \in K \ . \tag{7}$$

The formal polynomial

$$\mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{L}} = \sum_{i+j=d} a_{ij} X^i Y^j$$

in formal variables $X, Y$ is called the *principal symbol* of $\mathcal{L}$.

One can either assume field $K$ to be differentially closed, in other words containing all the solutions of, in general nonlinear, Partial Differential Equations (PDEs) with coefficients in $K$, or simply assume that $K$ contains the solutions of those PDEs that we encounter on the way.

Let $f \in K$, and $\mathcal{L} \in K[D]$; by $\mathcal{L}f$ we denote the composition of operator $\mathcal{L}$ with the operator of multiplication by a function $f$, while $\mathcal{L}(f)$ mean the application of operator $\mathcal{L}$ to $f$. For example,

$$D_x f = f D_x + f_x \in K[D] \ ,$$
$$D_x(f) = f_x \in K \ .$$

The second lower index attached to a symbol denoting a function means the derivative of that function with respect to the variables listed there. For example,

$$f_{1,xyy} = \partial_x \partial_x \partial_y f_1 \ .$$

**Definition 2.1.** Given some operator $\mathcal{R} \in K[D]$ and an invertible function $g \in K$, the corresponding *gauge transformation* is defined as

$$\mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}^g \ , \ \mathcal{R}^g = g^{-1} \circ R \circ g \ ,$$

where $\circ$ denotes the operation of the composition of operators in $K[D]$.

**Example 2.2.** The principal symbol of an operator in $K[D]$ is invariant under the gauge transformations.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L} \in K[D]$ and let a Darboux transformation exist for the pair $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{L})$. Then one exists also for $(\mathcal{M}^g, \mathcal{L}^g)$, where $g$ is an arbitrary invertible element of $K$.*

*Proof.* Indeed, (3) implies $g^{-1} \circ \mathcal{N} \circ g \circ g^{-1} \circ \mathcal{L} \circ g = g^{-1} \circ \mathcal{L}_1 \circ g \circ g^{-1} \circ \mathcal{M} \circ g$, and, therefore, $\mathcal{N}^g \circ \mathcal{L}^g = \mathcal{L}_1^g \circ \mathcal{M}^g$. Since gauge transformations do not change the symbol of an operator, the proof is complete. ☐

# 3 Darboux transformations generated by $\mathcal{M} = D_x$ or $\mathcal{M} = D_y$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an arbitrary linear partial differential operator in $K[D]$. If there be a Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M} = D_x + m)$, $m \in K$ then there is a Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}^g, D_x)$. If there be a Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M} = D_y + m)$, then there is a Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}^g, D_y)$.*

*Proof.* Consider a Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M} = D_x + m)$, and consider $g$, a solution of $g_x/g = -m$. Then $\mathcal{M}^g = D_x$. Then by Lemma 2.3 there is also a Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}^g, D_x)$. Analogous reasoning applies for the pair $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M} = D_y + m)$. ☐

**Theorem 3.2.** *An arbitrary linear partial differential operator in $K[D]$, that is, an operator in the form* (7)*, has a Darboux transformation generated by $\mathcal{M} = D_x$ if and only if*

$$a_{0j} = G_{jk}(y) a_{0k} \tag{8}$$

*for all non-zero $a_{0j}$ and $a_{0k}$, $j = 0, \dots, d$, $k = 0, \dots, d$. Here $G_{jk}(y)$ are some functions of variable $y$. Operator $\mathcal{L}$ has a Darboux transformation generated by $\mathcal{M} = D_y$ if and only if*

$$a_{i0} = F_{ik}(x) a_{k0} \tag{9}$$

*for all non-zero $a_{i0}$ and $a_{k0}$, $i = 0, \dots, d$, $k = 0, \dots, d$. Here $F_{ik}(x)$ are some functions of the variable $x$.*

*Proof.* Let there be a Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}, D_x)$. This means that for some $\mathcal{L}_1 \in K[D]$ and $n \in K$

$$(D_x + n)\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 D_x \ . \tag{10}$$

The right hand side of this operator equality does not contain terms of the form $cD_y^j$, $c \in K$. On the other hand for $j = 1, \dots, d$ the coefficient of $D_y^j$ in the left hand side is

$$n a_{0j} + a_{0j,x} = 0 \ . \tag{11}$$

The 'free' coefficient on the right hand side of (10) is zero, while on the left hand side it is

$$n a_{00} + a_{00,x} = 0 \ . \tag{12}$$

Equating the expressions for $n$ obtained from each of the equalities (11) and (12), we see that condition (8) is satisfied.

The analogous statement for the case $\mathcal{M} = D_y$ can be proved similarly.

To prove the theorem in the other direction, suppose conditions (8) are satisfied. Consider then the operator equality (10) defining a Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}, D_x)$. It implies equalities (11), (12), from which $n$ can be determined uniquely and without contradiction. In general, equality (10), which is an equality of operators of orders $d+1$, implies $(d+3)(d+2)/2$ equalities of the corresponding coefficients, of which $(d+1)$ are equalities (11) and (12). Thus, we have a linear algebraic system of a maximum $(d+3)(d+2)/2 - (d+1)$ equations to solve. The unknowns in this system are the coefficients of $\mathcal{L}_1$, an operator of order $d$ and their number is $(d+2)(d+1)/2$. Thus the number of equations in this system is less than or equal to the number of unknowns, so there is at least one solution.

Analogously we can prove that conditions (9) guarantee that there is a Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}, D_y)$. □

# 4 Invertible Darboux Transformations

Consider a Darboux transformation $\mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{L}_1$ of operators in $K[D]$ generated by an operator $\mathcal{M} \in K[D]$. This transformation implies mapping of linear vector spaces: $\ker \mathcal{L} \to \ker \mathcal{L}_1$. This mapping is invertible if $\mathcal{M} : \ker \mathcal{L} \mapsto \ker \mathcal{L}_1$ is an isomorphism, that is its kernel $\ker \mathcal{L} \cap \ker \mathcal{M}$ is $\{0\}$.

*Remark* 4.1. Darboux transformations of operator $\mathcal{L} \in K[D]$ generated by $\mathcal{M}$ constructed on some number of known solutions of $\mathcal{L}(\psi) = 0$ using Darboux formulas from Theorem 1.1 are not invertible.

**Theorem 4.2.** *If operator $\mathcal{L} \in K[D]$ given by* (7) *admits a Darboux transformation generated by $\mathcal{M} = D_x$ or $\mathcal{M} = D_y$ then*

$$\dim(\ker(\mathcal{L}) \cap \ker(\mathcal{M})) = \left[ \begin{array}{ll} \infty \ , & a_{0k} = 0, \ \forall k \in \{0, \dots, d\} \ , \\ d_y \ , & \textit{otherwise} \ , \end{array} \right.$$

*where $d_y$ is the largest $j$ such that $a_{0j} \neq 0$. In particular, the Darboux transformation is invertible, that is* $\dim(\ker(\mathcal{L}) \cap \ker(\mathcal{M})) = 0$ *if and only if $a_{0k} = 0$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $a_{00} \neq 0$.*

*Proof.* Suppose there is a Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}, D_x)$. The kernel of operator $\mathcal{M}$ consists of functions of the form $g = g(y)$.

Let there be $k$ such that the coefficients of $D_y^k$, $k = 1, \dots, d$ are not zero: $a_{0k} \neq 0$. Then using (8) compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(g(y)) &= \sum_{j=0}^{d} a_{0j} D_y^j(g(y)) \\ &= a_{0k} \sum_{j=0}^{d} G_{jk}(y) D_y^j(g(y)) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{L}(g(y)) = 0$ is equivalent to a linear differential equation of order $d_y$. The solution space of such an equation has dimension $d_y$.

If there is no such $k$ that the coefficient at $D_y^k$, $k = 1, \dots, d$ is not zero, then either $a_{00} = 0$ and then $\ker \mathcal{L} \cap \ker \mathcal{M} = \{f(y)\}$, or $a_{00} \neq 0$ and $\ker \mathcal{L} \cap \ker \mathcal{M} = \{0\}$.

Analogously, the statement can be proved for Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}, D_y)$. $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** *Laplace transformations (see Sec. 1) are the only invertible Darboux transformation generated by* $\mathcal{M} = D_x + m$ *or by* $\mathcal{M} = D_y + m$ *for operators in the form* $D_x D_y + a D_x + b D_y + c$, $a, b, c \in K$.

*Proof.* In [She12a] it has been proved that a Darboux transformation generated by $\mathcal{M} = D_x + m$ or by $\mathcal{M} = D_y + m$ is either a Laplace transformation, that is generated by $\mathcal{M} = D_x + b$ or $\mathcal{M} = D_y + a$, or generated by $\mathcal{M}$ in the form $D_x - \psi_{1,x}\psi_1^{-1}$, or $D_y - \psi_{1,y}\psi_1^{-1}$, where $\psi_1 \in \ker \mathcal{L}$. In the latter case, $\psi_1 \in (\ker \mathcal{L} \cap \ker \mathcal{M})$, and, therefore, the mapping $\ker \mathcal{L} \to \ker \mathcal{L}_1$ is not invertible.

Consider Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M} = D_x + b)$. Consider $\mathcal{L}^g$, where $g$ is a solution of $g_x g^{-1} = -b$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &= D_x D_y + (a + g_y g^{-1}) D_x + (b + g_x g^{-1}) D_y + c + a g_x g^{-1} + b g_y g^{-1} + g_{xy} g^{-1} \ , \\
&= D_x D_y + (a + g_y g^{-1}) D_x + c - ab + b g_y g^{-1} + g_{xy} g^{-1} \ , \\
&= D_x D_y + (a + g_y g^{-1}) D_x + c - ab - b_y \ , \\
&= D_x D_y + (a + g_y g^{-1}) D_x + k \ ,
\end{aligned}$$

where $k$ is one of two Laplace invariants of $\mathcal{L}$ (see Sec. 1). Thus, $k \neq 0$ is the necessary and sufficient condition for Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M} = D_x + b)$ to be invertible. Analogously, $h \neq 0$ is the necessary and sufficient condition for Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M} = D_y + a)$ to be invertible. $\square$

**Example 4.4. An invertible Darboux transformation.** Consider the operator

$$\mathcal{L} = D_x D_y^2 + D_x^2 + x D_x + 1 \ .$$

The coefficients of $\mathcal{L}$ satisfy condition (8), and, therefore, there is a Darboux transformation for $\mathcal{L}$ generated by $\mathcal{M} = D_x$. Since there is no term in the form $D_y^i$ and $\mathcal{L}(1) = 1 \neq 0$, then by Theorem (4.2) the Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M})$ is invertible. This Darboux transformation takes $\mathcal{L}$ into

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = D_x D_y^2 + D_x^2 + x D_x + 2 \ .$$

The corresponding operator $\mathcal{N}$ is $D_x$. In this case there is an inverse transformation of operators, $\mathcal{L}_1 \mapsto \mathcal{L}$ by means of $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{N}' = D_x$.

From any such example one can generate a whole series of examples of Darboux transformations with $\mathcal{M}$ in the form $D_x + m$ or $D_y + m$, $m \neq 0$ by considering gauge transformations of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{M}$.

# 5 Solution-based Darboux transformations

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let an operator $\mathcal{L}$ be of the form $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i+j=0}^{d} a_{ij} D_x^i D_y^j$, $a_{ij} \in K$, and $k = d$ if $a_{0d} \neq 0$, and $k = d-1$, otherwise. Then if there are*

$$\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_k \in \ker \mathcal{L}/\{0\}$$

*such that*

$$\frac{\psi_i}{\psi_1} = T_i(y) \ , \tag{13}$$

*for some non-constant functions $T_i(y) \in K$, $i = 2, \dots, k$, and*

$$W_{0,k-1}(1, T_2, \dots, T_k) \neq 0 \ , \tag{14}$$

*then there is a Darboux transformation for $\mathcal{L}$ generated by*

$$\mathcal{M} = D_x - \frac{\psi_{1,x}}{\psi_1} \ .$$

*Analogously, if instead of* (13) *and* (14) *conditions $\psi_i \psi_1^{-1} = F_i(x)$ and $W_{k-1,0}(1, T_2, \dots, T_k) \neq 0$ hold then there is a Darboux transformation for $\mathcal{L}$ generated by $\mathcal{M} = D_y - \psi_{1,y}\psi_1^{-1}$.*

*Remark* 5.2. Condition (14) implies that $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_k$ are linearly independent. The condition that $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_k$ are linearly independent does not necessarily imply condition (14).

*Proof.* Assume we have non-zero $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_k \in \ker \mathcal{L}$, such that (13) and (14) hold. Then for operator $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}^{\psi_1} = \sum_{i+j=0}^{d} a'_{ij} D_x^i D_y^j$, $a'_{ij} \in K$ we have

$$1 \in \ker \mathcal{L}' \ , \quad T_i(y) \in \ker \mathcal{L}' \ , i = 1, \dots, k \ .$$

The former means that $a'_{00} = 0$, while the latter implies that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d} a'_{0j} D_y^j (T_i(y)) = 0 \ , i = 2, \dots, k \tag{15}$$

which is a linear system of $k-1$ equations. The number of unknowns $a'_{0j}$ is the number of non-zero $a'_{0j}$, $j = 1, \dots, d$. If $k = d$, then the number of the unknowns is less or equal to $k$. If $k = d-1$, then $a_{0d} = 0$, and since the principal symbol of an operator is invariant with respect to the gauge transformations, $a'_{0d} = a_{0d} = 0$. Which means that in this case the number of the unknowns is also less than or equal to $k$.

The Wronkian in (14) has the first column $(1, 0, \dots, 0)^t$, and the first row $(1, T_2, \dots T_k)$. Thus, if we remove the first column and the first row, the rank of the corresponding matrix is $k-1$. Then the rank of the transpose of the latter matrix is also $k-1$:

$$\mathrm{rk} \begin{pmatrix} T_2' & \dots & T_2^{(k-1)} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ T_k' & \dots & T_k^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix} = k - 1 \ .$$

This is a $(k-1)\times(k-1)$ matrix and adding extra column $(T_2^k,\dots,T_k^k)^t$ on the right does not change the rank. Thus linear system (15) has matrix with rank $k-1$ and less or equal to $k$ unknowns, and, therefore, condition

$$a'_{0j} = G_{jk}(y)a'_{0k}$$

holds for all non-zero $a'_{0j}$ and $a'_{0k}$, $j=0,\dots,d$, $k=0,\dots,d$ for some $G_{jk}(y)\in K$. Thus, by Theorem 3.2 there is a Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}', D_x)$. Therefore, there is a Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}, \psi_1 D_x \psi_1^{-1})$, that is for pair $(\mathcal{L}, D_x - \psi_{1,x}\psi_1^{-1})$.

The second statement, giving sufficient conditions for the existence a Darboux transformation for $\mathcal{L}$ generated by $\mathcal{M} = D_y - \psi_{1,y}\psi_1^{-1}$, can be proved analogously. ☐

**Example 5.3. a** For operators in the form $D_xD_y + aD_x + bD_y + c$, $a,b,c\in K$ $k=1$, and Theorem 5.1 then means that it is enough to have one $\psi\in\ker\mathcal{L}$ to guarantee that a Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M} = D_x - \psi_{1,x}\psi_1^{-1})$ exists. This agrees with result of Theorem 1.1.

*Remark* 5.4. The statement of Theorem 5.1 is not true when formulated in the opposite direction. Let there be a Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{M} = D_x - \psi_{1,x}\psi_1^{-1})$, where $\psi_1\in\ker\mathcal{L}$. Then there is a Darboux transformation for pair $(\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}^{\psi_1}, \mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M}^{\psi_1} = D_x)$, $a'_{00}=0$. If $d'_y$ is the largest $j$ such that $a'_{0j}\neq 0$, and since $a'_{00}=0$ Theorem 4.2 implies that there are either $d'_y$ (or infinitely many) linearly independent

$$u_i \in \ker\mathcal{L}'\cap\ker\mathcal{M}'\ ,\ i=1,\dots d'_y \text{ (or } i=1,\dots,\infty)\ . \tag{16}$$

Since $\mathcal{M}' = D_x$, each of them is a function of variable $y$ solely. Since $1\in\ker\mathcal{L}\cap\ker\mathcal{L}$, we can choose (16) in such a way that $u_1=1$. Then

$$\phi_1 = \psi_1\ , \phi_2 = \psi_1 u_2, \dots \in \ker\mathcal{L}\cap\ker\mathcal{M}\ ,$$

and $\psi_i/\psi_1$, $i=1,\dots,d'_y$ are some function of $y$ solely. Thus, if number $d'_y$ is less then $k$, we cannot get $k$

$$\psi_1,\psi_2,\dots,\psi_k \in \ker\mathcal{L}\cap\ker\mathcal{M}\ .$$

**Example 5.5. The largest $j$ such that $a_{0j}\neq 0$ is not invariant under gauge transformation.** Consider operator

$$\mathcal{L} = D_x^2D_y + D_y^2D_x - \frac{1}{x}D_y^2 + D_y\ ,$$

and $\psi_1 = x\in\ker\mathcal{L}$. Then

$$\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}^{\psi_1} = D_x^2D_y + D_y^2D_x + \frac{2}{x}D_xD_y + D_y\ .$$

Thus, $d_y=2$ and $d'_y=1$, and there exists (a non-invertible) Darboux transformation generated by $\mathcal{M} = D_x - \psi_{1,x}\psi_1^{-1} = D_x - \frac{1}{x}$. It takes $\mathcal{L}$ into

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = D_x^2D_y + D_y^2D_x - \frac{1}{x}D_y^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2}{x^2}\right)D_y\ ,$$

and the corresponding $\mathcal{N}$ is $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{M}$.

Theorem 5.1 states some conditions under which an operator has non-invertible Darboux transformations generated by $\mathcal{M}$ in the form $D_x - \psi_{1,x}\psi_1^{-1}$ or $D_y - \psi_{1,y}\psi_1^{-1}$, where $\psi_1\in\ker\mathcal{L}$.

# References

[BS97] V. G. Bagrov and B. F. Samsonov. Darboux transformation of the Schrödinger equation. *Physics of Particles and Nuclei*, 28(4):374–397, 1997.

[Dar89] G. Darboux. *Leçons sur la théorie générale des surfaces et les applications géométriques du calcul infinitésimal*, volume 2. Gauthier-Villars, 1889.

[LN10] C. X. Li and J. J. C. Nimmo. Darboux transformations for a twisted derivation and quasideterminant solutions to the super KdV equation. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A-Mathematical Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 466(2120):2471–2493, 2010.

[MS91] V.B. Matveev and M.A. Salle. *Darboux transformations and solitons.* Springer-Verlag Berlin., 1991.

[She12a] Ekaterina Shemyakova. Laplace transformations as the only degenerate Darboux transformations of first order. *Programming and Computer Software (Russian Academy of Science)*, 38(2):105–108, 2012.

[She12b] Ekaterina Shemyakova. Proof of the Completeness of Darboux Wronskian Formulas for Order Two. *Accepted to Canadian Journal of Mathematics, see electronically at http://arxiv.org/abs/1111.1338*, 2012.

[TS09] S.P. Tsarev and E. Shemyakova. Differential transformations of parabolic second-order operators in the plane. *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics. Dedicated to Academician Sergei Petrovich Novikov on the occasion of his 70th birthday*, 266(1):219–227, 2009.

[Tsa00] S. Tsarev. Factorization of linear partial differential operators and Darboux' method for integrating nonlinear partial differential equations. *Theo. Math. Phys.*, 122:121–133, 2000.